## Soviets Deny Building Cuba Submarine Base

By Michael Getler Washington Post Staff Writer

and emphatically denied yes-the United States "will conterday that it was constructing tinue to watch the situation a base for missile-firing sub- around the Cuban port of Cienmarines in Cuba.

An official government statement distributed by Tass, the Soviet news agency, said "The Soviet Union is not building a military base in Cuba and is not doing anything that would contradict the understanding reached between the ward open sea. governments of the U.S.S.R.

During the 1962 Cuban missile crisis, the Soviets agreed to pull their missiles out of Cuba in return for what they licly accused the Soviets on say was an American pledge not to invade Cuba.

At the State Department, spokesman Robert J. McCloskey said the department considered the Tass statement

The Soviet Union officially "to be positive," but added that fuegos, closely.

The Tass report came just hours before the Pentagon announced that a Soviet submarine tender that had been in port in Cienfuegos since Sept. 9 had left Saturday morning and was heading eastward to-

High-level defense officials and the United States in 1962." say the departure of the tender is the most significant break in the activities around Cienfuegos since the White House and the Pentagon both pub-Sept. 25 of possibly preparing the harbor there to service Russian missile-firing submarines.

See BASE, A14, Col. 1

## Cuba Base Plan Denied by Russia

BASE, From A1

Assistant Secretary of Defense Daniel Z. Henkin said yesterday that departure of the tender "makes it less likely" that the Cuban port could be used in this fashion.

main cautious about Russian Intelligence Agency Oct. 1 and intentions in the area, they reported insufficient evidence also believe the tender's de- was presented, in their view, parture may be linked to a to conclude the Soviets were Soviet desire not to damage in fact building such a base. either the Strategic Arms Limitations Talks, which are to sible, as some administration reopen in Helsinki Nov. 2, or sources say, that the Soviets forthcoming meetings in New did indeed intend to put such York this Friday and the fol- a facility in, but may now lowing Monday between U.S. have been dissuaded by stern Secretary of State William P. U.S. warnings. Rogers and Soviet Foreign Minister Andri Gromyko.

submarine bases overseas at Holy Loch, Scotland, and Rota, at this time what purpose they Spain, both have tenders in serve. port at all times, and the continued presence of the Soviet tender in Cuba had increased speculation that a permanent sub base of this type as to e set up.

In a news conference Monday, Defense Secretary Klvin R. Laird pointed out that the two U.S. bases were well known before the U.S. and U.S.S.R. agreed to meet last fall to discuss strategic arms limitation.

Any attempt by the Soviets to change the balance now that the talks are under way would be viewed as "a very serious act," Laird said.

Whether the Soviets ever really intended to set up a sub base in Cuba, or still harbor such plans, remains open to considerable doubt.

Though Laird said Monday base construction is going forfrom bases in Russia.

privately that there is no such press."

evidence and several senators, E including J. W. Fulbright (D-Ark.), Clifford Case (R-N.J.), to and Frank Church (D-Idaho), re all of whom are normally skeptical of Pentagon claims, emerged from a special Senate Foreign Relations Com-Though defense officials re- mittee briefing by the Defense

On the other hand, it is pos-

There are, according to intelligence officials, some new The two major U.S. Polaris buildings at the Cienfuegos port, but it is impossible to tell

> The Soviets, they point out, are still in a position to change their plans for Cienfuegos before the U.S. can gather any actual evidence of sub base construction. Unlike the 1962 missile crisis, no before-andafter photos of activity in Cuba have been made public.

> Further evidence that the situation was cooling off, militarily if not politically, came yesterday when administration officials, invited to testify on the Cuban affair in closed session on Capitol Hill, failed to show up.

> Rep. Dante B. Fascell (D-Fla.), Chairman of the House Foreign Alffairs Subcommittee on Inter-American Affairs that had scheduled the meetings, said in an interview:

"I am not suggesting that that "there is evidence naval there are any domestic political motives present in the adward," neither the White ministration's approach to the House nor the Pentagon has Cuban affair, but I do find it claimed or produced any hard exceedingly strange that the evidence linking activity there discussion of a matter which specifically with "Yankee is alleged to be of such grave class," missile-firing subma- importance as to threaten our rines of the type that already national and international sepatrol off the U.S. east coast curity should be conducted strictly between high admin-Intelligence officials say istration officials and the